

## Oxygen administration for the resuscitation of term and preterm infants

Daniele Trevisanuto<sup>1</sup>, Camilla Gizzi<sup>2</sup>, Claudio Martano<sup>3</sup>, Valentina Dal Cengio<sup>1</sup>, Fabrizio Ciralli<sup>4</sup>, Flaminia Torielli<sup>5</sup>, Paolo Ernesto Villani<sup>6</sup>, Sandra Di Fabio<sup>7</sup>, Lorenzo Quartulli<sup>8</sup> & Luigi Giannini<sup>9</sup>, on Behalf of Neonatal Resuscitation Study Group, Italian Society of Neonatology

<sup>1</sup>Children and Women's Health Department, Medical School University of Padua, Azienda Ospedaliera Padova, Padua, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Paediatric and Neonatal Department "S. Giovanni Calibita" Fatebenefratelli Hospital–Isola Tiberina, Rome, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Paediatric Department, Medical School University of Turin, Azienda Ospedaliera OIRM-S Anna, Turin, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Department of Mother and Infant Science, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Neonatology Unit, Neonatal Department, University of Genova, Azienda Ospedaliera San Martino, IRCCS–IST National Institute on Cancer Research, Genoa, Italy, <sup>6</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Maternal and Paediatric Department, Carlo Poma Hospital, Mantua, Italy, <sup>7</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Department of Mother and Infant Science, "San Salvatore" Hospital, L'Aquila, Italy, <sup>8</sup>Neonatology Unit, "A. Perrino" Hospital–ASL, Brindisi, Italy, and <sup>9</sup>Paediatric Department, Medical School University "La Sapienza" Rome, Azienda Ospedaliera Policlinico Umberto I, Rome, Italy

Oxygen has been widely used in neonatal resuscitation for about 300 years. In October 2010, the International Liaison Committee on Neonatal Resuscitation released new guidelines. Based on experimental studies and randomized clinical trials, the recommendations on evaluation and monitoring of oxygenation status and oxygen supplementation in the delivery room were revised in detail. They include: inaccuracy of oxygenation clinical assessment (colour), mandatory use of pulse oximeter, specific saturation targets and oxygen concentrations during positive pressure ventilation in preterm and term infants. In this review, we describe oxygen management in the delivery room in terms of clinical assessment, monitoring, treatment and the gap of knowledge.

**Keywords:** Delivery room, neonatal resuscitation, oxygen supplementation

### Introduction

Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) was discovered in 1604 by a Polish alchemist, Michal Sedziwoj [1]. In 1777, O<sub>2</sub> was first used by François Chaussier in neonatal resuscitation [2].

The past decade has seen remarkable changes in attitudes to the use of O<sub>2</sub> in the delivery room (DR). Although O<sub>2</sub> represents a lifesaving milestone therapy in neonatal resuscitation, there has been recognition that newborn infants are susceptible to oxidative stress and that high O<sub>2</sub> concentrations can be harmful to both preterm and term infants.

The aim of this article was to revise O<sub>2</sub> management in the DR in terms of clinical assessment, monitoring, treatment and the gap of knowledge.

### Clinical assessment

During the process of adaptation to extrauterine life, a normal newborn presents a period of cyanosis. In fact, O<sub>2</sub> saturation gradually increases during the first minutes of life from intrapartum

levels of 30–40% to physiologic postnatal levels of 95–100% [3]. This blood O<sub>2</sub> value is reached approximately 10 minutes after birth so that oxyhaemoglobin saturation may normally correspond to 70–80% range for this period of transition [4–11], thus resulting in the appearance of a cyanotic colour of the skin.

Traditionally, the clinical assessment of colour was considered as a measurement of oxygenation and therefore O<sub>2</sub> levels at birth were only established through direct skin observation. The 2005 International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Guidelines also confirmed this approach [12].

It has been recently demonstrated that the clinical assessment of skin colour as a measurement of oxygenation is imprecise and inaccurate. Indeed, some recent studies have demonstrated that this approach has an important interobserver and intraobserver variability. O'Donnell et al. [13] observed that clinicians often disagree whether or not an infant is pink; furthermore, they noticed that the saturation by pulse oximetry (SpO<sub>2</sub>), whereby observers declared infants to be pink was greatly varied, ranging from 10–100%. Besides this, the lack of cyanosis is a very poor indicator of the state of oxygenation of newborns [14] and, on the other hand, peripheral cyanosis is common and does not indicate hypoxaemia on its own [15].

As a result, assessing colour is not an accurate way to measure tissue oxygenation immediately after birth. Some studies have attempted to ascertain the best indicator for oxygenation and have recommended the use of pulse oximetry [4–9,16,17]. The 2010 the American Heart Association (AHA) Guidelines for Neonatal Resuscitation confirms this approach [14].

### Monitoring

In October 2010, the ILCOR released new guidelines for neonatal resuscitation which expanded on the previous recommendations for the use of pulse oximetry during neonatal resuscitation [18]. Oximetry provides an objective continuous measurement of both the infant's oxygenation and heart rate (HR) and is, therefore, a

reliable tool for following the infant's foetal to neonatal transition and for titrating the concentration of supplemental O<sub>2</sub> in the DR, if required. Pulse oximetry is actually considered the optimal tool for monitoring SpO<sub>2</sub> in the DR. It provides an immediate and continuous display of SpO<sub>2</sub> and HR and does not require calibration [19].

The latest generation pulse oximeters can give measurements within 90 seconds when applied in the appropriate manner. The differences between the Masimo (Masimo, Irvine, CA) oximeter, the most studied machine in neonates, and other oximeters are likely to be ~2% and therefore not clinically relevant [11].

In order to obtain rapid, reliable data, the device should be applied in the following steps: (i) turn on the oximeter; (ii) apply the sensor to the infant's right hand or wrist; (iii) connect the sensor to the oximeter cable; and (iv) shield the sensor from light [20]. Sensor placed on the right hand or wrist reflects the SpO<sub>2</sub> of blood flow to the brain [17]. This approach has been studied using a Masimo (Masimo, Irvine, CA, USA) pulse oximeter, but has also been recently recommended for Nellcor (Nellcor Oximax N-600) pulse oximeters [21].

In order to identify the physiological changes in preductal SpO<sub>2</sub> after birth and determine "normal" SpO<sub>2</sub> values for term and preterm infants, Dawson et al. recently defined reference ranges for SpO<sub>2</sub> values in the first 10 minutes after birth for infants who received no medical intervention in the DR. They studied 468 infants (25–42 weeks' gestation) and recorded 61,650 SpO<sub>2</sub> data points. The sensor (LNOP Neo Masimo SET, Masimo, Irvine, CA, USA) was placed on the right wrist and then connected to the oximeter monitor. Overall, it took a median of 7.9 minutes (interquartile range: 5.0–10 minutes) to reach a SpO<sub>2</sub> value of >90%, while SpO<sub>2</sub> values for preterm infants increased more slowly than those for term infants. Moreover, in the first 5 minutes after birth, infants born through caesarean section had significantly lower SpO<sub>2</sub> measurements than those delivered vaginally. Percentile charts for all infants, term infants of ≥37 weeks, preterm infants of 32–36 weeks and extremely preterm infants of <32 weeks were provided [11]. Dawson and colleagues' SpO<sub>2</sub> nomogram currently represents the best estimate of SpO<sub>2</sub> targets for term, but especially for preterm, infants during the first minutes of life [22].

The availability of reference ranges for SpO<sub>2</sub> has great relevance, but no randomized control trial (RCT) has defined the appropriate SpO<sub>2</sub> target ranges in the DR to date.

At any rate, pulse oximetry can be difficult to interpret because the correlation between SpO<sub>2</sub> and arterial O<sub>2</sub> tension (PaO<sub>2</sub>) is dependent on the affinity of haemoglobin (Hb) to O<sub>2</sub> in differing physiologic circumstances. The composition of the neonatal Hb affects these values: the greater the amount of foetal Hb, the higher the SpO<sub>2</sub> for any given PaO<sub>2</sub> value [23]. Castillo et al. reported the relationship between actual PaO<sub>2</sub> and SpO<sub>2</sub> values in preterm infants and found that the mean PaO<sub>2</sub> level measured was 56 ± 14.7 mm Hg for SpO<sub>2</sub> values between 85 and 93%. Within this SpO<sub>2</sub> range, 86.8% of the samples had PaO<sub>2</sub> values of 40 to 80 mm Hg, 8.6% had values of <40 mm Hg, and 4.6% had values of >80 mm Hg. When the SpO<sub>2</sub> was >93% the mean PaO<sub>2</sub> was 107.3 ± 59.3 mm Hg with 59.5% of values >80 mm Hg [24].

In 2010, there are still differences between the AHA and the European Resuscitation Council (ERC) resuscitation algorithms about the preductal SpO<sub>2</sub> target ranges at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 10 minutes after birth.

In infants with compromised circulation, reliable SpO<sub>2</sub> signals may not be available and decisions about the use of higher O<sub>2</sub> concentrations should be based on HR response to resuscitation manoeuvres [25].

## Treatment

### Tailoring O<sub>2</sub> administration in the DR

While administering O<sub>2</sub>, the common goal is avoiding hypoxia and hyperoxia, particularly in extremely preterm infants that are at the most risk of harm from O<sub>2</sub> toxicity.

Nevertheless, an appropriate SpO<sub>2</sub> target below which O<sub>2</sub> therapy does more good than harm during resuscitation has not yet been determined, nor has the highest safe level of SpO<sub>2</sub>. The AHA's 2010 Guidelines suggested target pulse oximetry levels at intervals after birth, under which the commencement of O<sub>2</sub> administration is recommended. The preductal SpO<sub>2</sub> target ranges at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 minutes after birth are 60–65%, 65–70%, 70–75%, 75–80%, 80–85%, and 85–95%, respectively [26]. These values are close to the median values for infants who do not require resuscitation. In contrast, the ERC's newborn life support algorithm recommends starting O<sub>2</sub> at SpO<sub>2</sub> of 60%, 70%, 80%, 85%, and 90% at 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 minutes after birth, respectively using values that are closer to the 25th percentile [27]. It is worth noting that in these recent 2010 guideline algorithms, the targeted SpO<sub>2</sub> range for the first 10 minutes after birth have been included without specifying the gestational age.

From a practical point of view, if the chosen target SpO<sub>2</sub> percentile is too low hypoxic damage may result, while if the target SpO<sub>2</sub> percentile is set too high, unnecessary O<sub>2</sub> treatment may be given. In this case, targets from both algorithms are sufficiently similar for practical use.

SpO<sub>2</sub> target ranges should also be used to titrate the ongoing fraction of inspired O<sub>2</sub> in the DR during neonatal resuscitation. O<sub>2</sub> blenders are now a standard of care in many DRs in developed countries, which help to set optimal oxygen concentration (FiO<sub>2</sub>) guided by HR and pulse oximetry. Moreover, Dawson's nomograms classified by gestational age may be very useful for the resuscitation team as they allow more accurate target SpO<sub>2</sub> to be established according to gestational age [11].

Before starting O<sub>2</sub> therapy, however, the clinical assessment of the infant is important. Many healthy, normally breathing infants do not appear pink until several minutes after birth and they do not need O<sub>2</sub>, while an infant who is unresponsive, hypotonic, and bradycardic requires immediate assistance. Both ventilation and oxygenation form the cornerstones of neonate resuscitation and O<sub>2</sub> treatment in a newborn infant will not be effective if the infant's lungs are not aerated. The AHA's 2010 guidelines suggest using continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) if the infant is breathing, but has respiratory difficulty and/or in case of persistent cyanosis. If the infant is not breathing adequately, then intermittent positive pressure ventilation (PPV) with positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) should be started [18]. Effective ventilation is also required before modification to O<sub>2</sub> therapy can be considered. It should not be forgotten that ventilation is the most relevant intervention during resuscitation, and that adequate ventilation improves air-blood interface O<sub>2</sub> exchange and reduces the O<sub>2</sub> load along resuscitation. Using pulse oximetry to determine the appropriate level of respiratory support and to guide the decision of whether or not to provide O<sub>2</sub> should, therefore, become an evidence-based practice. In Box 1, we report the delivery room O<sub>2</sub> administration recommendations of the Study Group on Neonatal Resuscitation-Italian Society of Neonatology.

### O<sub>2</sub> for term infants

In 2010, the ILCOR supported the evidence of using of air instead of 100% O<sub>2</sub> in the DR for resuscitating asphyxiated term or near

### Box 1. Group of study on neonatal resuscitation–Italian Society of Neonatology. Delivery room O<sub>2</sub> administration recommendations

Suggested equipment: air/O<sub>2</sub> sources and blender; pulse oximeter; continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) system; system for positive pressure ventilation (PPV).

- In all patients needing resuscitation at birth, apply pulse oximeter to the infant's right hand or wrist, according to the procedures above described.
- In infants with cyanosis and/or labored breathing and heart rate (HR)  $\geq$  100 bpm: start CPAP and set optimal oxygen concentration (FiO<sub>2</sub>) according to gestational age.
- In infants with HR < 100 bpm and/or apnea and/or gasping: start effective PPV and set FiO<sub>2</sub> according to gestational age.
- If not clinical improvement, add O<sub>2</sub> titrating the FiO<sub>2</sub> to avoid hyperoxic or hypoxic damage by guide of saturation by pulse oximetry (SpO<sub>2</sub>) percentiles reference chart.

#### Babies $\geq$ 32 weeks' gestation

- Start PPV with room air.
- If there is not an adequate response of HR (increase of 20 bpm) within 90 seconds Then.
- Add O<sub>2</sub> titrating the FiO<sub>2</sub> to avoid hyperoxic or hypoxic damage by guide of SpO<sub>2</sub> percentiles reference chart.

#### Babies <32 weeks' gestation

- Start PPV with FiO<sub>2</sub> at 30% until pulse oximetry provides an accurate signal and HR is in normal range.
- When reliable SpO<sub>2</sub> readings are available it seems reasonable to keep the newborn infants between the 10th and 75–90th centiles in the gestational age related chart, to avoid hypo- or hyperoxia.
- If a baby is below the 10th centile, FiO<sub>2</sub> should be increased in 10% intervals every 30 seconds until SpO<sub>2</sub> is above the 10th centile (abrupt FiO<sub>2</sub> changes should be avoided to prevent pulmonary vessel constriction).
- If there is no improvement or the HR falls, adequacy of ventilation should be checked and FiO<sub>2</sub> increased as needed to achieve SpO<sub>2</sub> above the 10th centile.
- Conversely, if SpO<sub>2</sub> is above the 75th or the 90th centile (depending on the pre-established strategy) FiO<sub>2</sub> should be reduced by 10% at 30 seconds intervals until SpO<sub>2</sub> reaches pre-established safety limits.

#### All neonates

- If severe bradycardia (HR  $\leq$  60 bpm) lasts >30 seconds despite adequate ventilation, the FiO<sub>2</sub> should be switched to 100%.

term newborn infants [18]. Air undoubtedly offers clinical advantages as a shorter time to first breath or cry, which tends towards a reduction of the risk of severe hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy and decreased mortality. Saugstad et al. reviewed 10 trials comparing the use of 21% versus 100% O<sub>2</sub> and reported that the relative risk of mortality was lower when 21% O<sub>2</sub> was used with a typical RR 0.69 (95% CI = 0.54–0.88) [28]. These studies, however, have received frequent criticisms as some of them were quasi randomized with patient allocation by day of birth and many were performed in the developing world where the overall mortality is higher than in developed countries. Analysis of the studies that were all strictly randomized as opposed to quasi randomized showed that the reduction in mortality risk remained significant

with a typical RR 0.32 (95% CI: 0.12–0.84). These strictly RCTs were all performed in Europe, mostly in Spain, suggesting that this finding was consistent in both developing and developed countries. The evidence-based treatment recommendation now states that: "In term infants receiving resuscitation at birth with PPV, it is best to begin with air rather than 100% O<sub>2</sub>. If, despite effective ventilation, there is no increase in HR or if oxygenation (guided by oximetry) remains at unacceptable levels, the use of a higher concentration of O<sub>2</sub> should be considered". The meaning of this adjustment is that, based on 814,000 asphyxia-related deaths per year [29], a mean of 252,000 (95% CI: 146,000–374,000) lives can be saved annually [28].

However, special attention should be given to severely asphyxiated newborns with an arrested or markedly depressed (HR <60 beats per minute, bpm) circulation. Although newborn piglets with cardiac arrest need similar times for the return of spontaneous circulation when either 21% or 100% O<sub>2</sub> is used [30], in newborns with compromised circulation, reliable SpO<sub>2</sub> signals may not be available and the HR response to the resuscitation manoeuvres should guide the higher O<sub>2</sub> concentrations to be used. Vento and Saugstad suggested that "in newborns with severe circulatory arrest (Apgar <1 at 1 minute), the HR response to the first ventilations even before obtaining a reliable reading from the pulse oximeter should determine O<sub>2</sub> use. When HR does not increase despite adequate ventilation, O<sub>2</sub> should be rapidly increased (as high as 100%) to attain a rapid return of spontaneous circulation" [31].

#### O<sub>2</sub> for preterm infants

Evidence from both RCTs and observational studies suggests that preterm infants can be successfully treated in the DR with less than 100% O<sub>2</sub>. Given that even short-term exposure to excessive O<sub>2</sub> can generate reactive O<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen species that are associated with short and long-term morbidity [32], blended O<sub>2</sub> and air may be given "judiciously" to these infants. To achieve this goal it is recommended that an air/O<sub>2</sub> blender and titrating gas admixture are used according to the readings of the pulse oximetry. Preterm infants should be then monitored for preductal SpO<sub>2</sub> and HR as soon as possible after birth and SpO<sub>2</sub> values should be maintained within a safety range in order to increase O<sub>2</sub> levels above foetal levels and avoid hyperoxia. To date, there is limited data for very preterm infants to develop gestational age-specific normal SpO<sub>2</sub> ranges and preductal saturation values, according to Dawson's nomograms (3), can be considered at the current time to be the "best guess at optimal SpO<sub>2</sub> targets in preterm infants" [33].

The optimal use of supplemental O<sub>2</sub> in very low birth weight babies was addressed in a series of recent trials. Escrig et al. studied 42 infants <28 weeks needing active resuscitation in the DR. The infants were randomized to receive either 90% or 30% O<sub>2</sub> as the initial resuscitation gas. FiO<sub>2</sub> was then adjusted according to the infant's HR and SpO<sub>2</sub> in order to reach stable values of about 85% at 5–7 minutes in both groups. In accordance with the study protocol, every 60–90 seconds the fraction of inspired O<sub>2</sub> was increased in 10% steps if an onset of bradycardia was recorded (<100 bpm) or was decreased in similar 10% steps if SpO<sub>2</sub> reached values of >85%. As a result, no differences were observed between infants resuscitated with low or high O<sub>2</sub> concentrations in terms of the time needed to attain the target SpO<sub>2</sub> level, but those in the 30% group were exposed to less O<sub>2</sub> during the resuscitation [34]. Another clinical trial was then conducted by the same Authors to compare the clinical and biochemical consequences of using 2 different O<sub>2</sub> loads during

resuscitation. They found that lower exposure to O<sub>2</sub> at birth also caused lower oxidative stress and inflammation, a lesser need for O<sub>2</sub> and mechanical ventilation, and a lower risk of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) [35]. Similar results on oxidative stress were observed by Ezaki et al. [36].

Wang et al. randomized 41 infants of <32 weeks' gestation to receive 21% versus 100% O<sub>2</sub> as the initial resuscitation gas. A targeted approach to adjusting FiO<sub>2</sub> based on SpO<sub>2</sub> levels was used in the experimental (21%) group. If the targets of 70% at 3 minutes of life and 85% at 5 minutes of life were not achieved, the FiO<sub>2</sub> was increased at 25% increments. The FiO<sub>2</sub> was increased to 100% immediately for severe bradycardia. Infants in the control group were ventilated with 100% O<sub>2</sub> up to 5 minutes of life then FiO<sub>2</sub> was decreased if the SpO<sub>2</sub> was >95%. All 18 infants assigned to the experimental group required an increase of FiO<sub>2</sub> at or before 3 minutes of life. As a result, no infant could be successfully resuscitated with room air [37]. Likewise, Dawson et al. evaluated their unit's experience after changing from initiating resuscitation with 100% to 21% O<sub>2</sub>. The practice of this unit was to change from 21% to 100% O<sub>2</sub> if the SpO<sub>2</sub> was <70% at 5 minutes of life, if HR was below 100 bpm after 60 seconds of ventilation or if chest compressions had been initiated. Of the 106 preterm infants given 21% O<sub>2</sub> from the start, 92% were given supplemental O<sub>2</sub> at some point during the resuscitation. The median SpO<sub>2</sub> for these infants at 2 and 5 minutes of life was 31% and 54%, respectively, and increased to 81% at 6 minutes of life, once 100% O<sub>2</sub> was administered. Conversely, the 20 infants evaluated before the practice change (resuscitated with 100% O<sub>2</sub>) had median SpO<sub>2</sub> values of 84% and 94% at 2 and 5 minutes of life, respectively. The 8 infants out of 106 successfully resuscitated with 21% O<sub>2</sub> had a median SpO<sub>2</sub> of 71% and 87% at 2 and 5 minutes of life respectively [38].

Recently, an additional trial compared three different O<sub>2</sub> delivery strategies during resuscitation. In the ROAR study, Rabi et al. evaluated preterm infants at <32 weeks' gestation and randomized the 106 participants into one of the following groups: 100% O<sub>2</sub> throughout, 100% initial and titrated to SpO<sub>2</sub>, or 21% initial and titrated to SpO<sub>2</sub>. In the O<sub>2</sub> titrated groups, the FiO<sub>2</sub> was adjusted by 20% every 15 seconds to reach a target O<sub>2</sub> saturation range of 85–92%. Treatment failure was defined as a HR < 100 bpm for longer than 30 seconds. At the conclusion of the resuscitation process, both titrated groups were receiving similar FiO<sub>2</sub>: 33% (range: 27–39%) and 36% (range: 27–45%). These values are consistent with those used at the conclusion of resuscitation in the Escrig and Wang trials. However, infants in the 100% initial and titrated to SpO<sub>2</sub> oxygen group spent a larger proportion of time in the target SpO<sub>2</sub> range while infants in the low O<sub>2</sub> group were eight times more likely to meet the criteria for treatment failure than those in the high O<sub>2</sub> group (24 vs 3%; *p* = 0.022). The three groups did not differ significantly in terms of the time taken to reach the target SpO<sub>2</sub> range [39].

Unfortunately none of these trials was actually powered to evaluate important long-term outcomes such as survival without significant neurodevelopmental disability. Two trials are currently being devised for this purpose [40]. The Targeted Oxygenation in the Resuscitation of Premature Infants and Their Developmental Outcome trial (TO<sub>2</sub>RPIDO) is recruiting infants at <31 weeks' gestation to compare 100% O<sub>2</sub> and air as the initial gas for resuscitation, using SpO<sub>2</sub> targeting. For the air group, FiO<sub>2</sub> is increased if SpO<sub>2</sub> is <65% by 5 minutes, <80% at 5–10 minutes, and <85% thereafter. In the 100% O<sub>2</sub> group, FiO<sub>2</sub> is decreased when SpO<sub>2</sub> >92%. Furthermore, the Premature Infants Resuscitation with Oxygen or Air trial, currently in the planning stage, is a blinded

comparison of a targeted O<sub>2</sub> strategy comparing the initial use of 21% O<sub>2</sub> and 90% O<sub>2</sub>.

Given that the long-term consequences of such differences in oxygenation are not known, it seems likely that neither 21% nor 100% will be optimal. These studies on the use of O<sub>2</sub> in preterm infants during the transition to extrauterine life suggest that most preterm infants require supplemental O<sub>2</sub> to achieve expected oxygenation levels within the first 20 minutes of life. An initial low amount of oxygen supplementation (25–30%) apparently enhances successful transition, lowers the O<sub>2</sub> load, and diminishes the risk of O<sub>2</sub>-derived damage and inflammation.

## Gap of knowledge

Clinical studies [28,41,42] and practice [43] seem to lead towards resuscitation of term infants with room air. However, this evidence come from RCTs with potential limitations including study design (quasi randomized trials), setting (some of them were conducted in developing countries), and characteristics of enrolled patients (the majority of patients suffered from a mild-moderate asphyxia) [28,41,42].

While term babies can be effectively and safely resuscitated in room air, the choice has not always a clear cut-off.

Animal models of severe asphyxia show an uncertain or no supremacy of one strategy over the other [44–46]. These studies used different asphyxia models and endpoints, making any comparison almost impossible. However, cumulative experimental findings suggest that with persistent bradycardia (i.e. HR < 60 bpm not responding to effective ventilation) an increase in supplemental O<sub>2</sub> is warranted. HR response and, when detectable, SpO<sub>2</sub> would determine the O<sub>2</sub> supplementation.

Studies in preterm infants failed to define the optimal O<sub>2</sub> concentration for these babies. Since preterm infants have immature O<sub>2</sub> scavengers, they have the highest risk to be negatively affected by excessive oxygenation and consequent formation of reactive O<sub>2</sub> species [47,48]. From a theoretical point of view, O<sub>2</sub> at birth may contribute to the development of a variety of preterm birth complications. In experimental and clinical settings, hyperoxia has been associated with serious injury to the developing brain, lung, myocardium and kidney [32,49]. On the other hand, a few studies [39] raised some concerns about room air resuscitation in these premature babies since the vast majority needed some O<sub>2</sub> to recover during resuscitation. 100% O<sub>2</sub> resuscitation was associated with increased markers of inflammation and BPD rate [35]. Based on this evidence, resuscitation guidelines state that “in preterm infants at <32 weeks' gestation, the initial use of air or 100% O<sub>2</sub> is more likely to result in hypoxia or hyperoxia, respectively, than initiation of resuscitation with 30% or 90% O<sub>2</sub> and titration to SpO<sub>2</sub>”.

As 100% O<sub>2</sub> should be avoided, guidelines recommend that “blended O<sub>2</sub> and air may be given judiciously and ideally guided by pulse oximetry [...]. If a blend of O<sub>2</sub> and air is not available, resuscitation should be initiated with air” [14].

Given the unlikelihood that the consequences of 90% O<sub>2</sub> will differ from 100% O<sub>2</sub>, leaving such a wide range of O<sub>2</sub> concentration open may be harmful to the babies. Efforts should be directed towards an O<sub>2</sub> “finding dose” to start neonatal resuscitation as effectively and safely as possible, especially in those babies who are more fragile such as preterm infants and severely asphyxiated babies.

A recent paper by Perlman et al. evaluated the “gap of knowledge” on neonatal resuscitation. In their opinion, the most relevant questions on O<sub>2</sub> administration at birth remain: “How

much supplemental O<sub>2</sub> should be used when there is persistent bradycardia (i.e. HR < 60 bpm) that does not respond within 30–45 seconds to effective ventilation? What is the effect of administering room air as compared to supplemental O<sub>2</sub> during circulatory arrest on restoring cerebral blood flow and/or subsequent brain injury? What should the optimal SpO<sub>2</sub> target be for supplemental O<sub>2</sub> delivery when a baby has evidence of compromise? Should the targets be different for premature versus term infants?” [50].

## Conclusions

In conclusion, the recommendations for O<sub>2</sub> management in the DR have changed greatly: colour is no longer recommended as a useful indicator of oxygenation, pulse oximetry provides accurate information on both oxygenation and HR, resuscitation of term and very preterm infants should be started with room air and a fraction of inspired O<sub>2</sub> between 30 and 90%, respectively following physiological saturation targets. There is a gap of knowledge in the field that needs to be explored further.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are responsible for the research contained herein, they have participated in the concept and design, drafting or revising of the manuscript, and have approved the manuscript as submitted.

**Declaration of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Szydlo Z. Water which does not wet hands: the alchemy of Michael Sendivogius. Warsaw: Polish Academy of Sciences; 1994.
- Chaussier F. Réflexions sur les moyens propres à déterminer la respiration dans les enfants qui naissent sans donner aucune signe de vie, et à rétablir cette fonction dans les asphyxiés; et sur les effets de l'air vital ou déphlogistiqué employé pour produire ces avantages. Paris: Histoire de la Société Royale de Médecine; 1780–1981. pp 346–354.
- East CE, Colditz PB, Begg LM, Brennecke SP. Update on intrapartum fetal pulse oximetry. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2002;42:119–124.
- Harris AP, Sendak MJ, Donham RT. Changes in arterial oxygen saturation immediately after birth in the human neonate. J Pediatr 1986;109:117–119.
- House JT, Schultetus RR, Gravenstein N. Continuous neonatal evaluation in the delivery room by pulse oximetry. J Clin Monit 1987;3:96–100.
- Dimich I, Singh PP, Adell A, Hendler M, Sonnenklar N, Jhaveri M. Evaluation of oxygen saturation monitoring by pulse oximetry in neonates in the delivery system. Can J Anaesth 1991;38:985–988.
- Toth B, Becker A, Seelbach-Göbel B. Oxygen saturation in healthy newborn infants immediately after birth measured by pulse oximetry. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2002;266:105–107.
- Rabi Y, Yee W, Chen SY, Singhal N. Oxygen saturation trends immediately after birth. J Pediatr 2006;148:590–594.
- Kamlin CO, O'Donnell CP, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Oxygen saturation in healthy infants immediately after birth. J Pediatr 2006;148:585–589.
- Altuncu E, Ozek E, Bilgen H, Topuzoglu A, Kavuncuoglu S. Percentiles of oxygen saturations in healthy term newborns in the first minutes of life. Eur J Pediatr 2008;167:687–688.
- Dawson JA, Kamlin CO, Vento M, Wong C, Cole TJ, Donath SM, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Defining the reference range for oxygen saturation for infants after birth. Pediatrics 2010;125:e1340–e1347.
- International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. Part 7: Neonatal resuscitation. Resuscitation 2005; 67:293–303.
- O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Carlin JB, Morley CJ. Clinical assessment of infant colour at delivery. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2007;92:F465–F467.
- Kattwinkel J, Perlman JM, Aziz K, Colby C, Fairchild K, Gallagher J, Hazinski MF, et al.; American Heart Association. Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Pediatrics 2010;126:e1400–e1413.
- Richmond S, Wyllie J. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2010 Section 7. Resuscitation of babies at birth. Resuscitation 2010;81:1389–1399.
- Kattwinkel J. Evaluating resuscitation practices on the basis of evidence: the findings at first glance may seem illogical. J Pediatr 2003;142:221–222.
- O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Feasibility of and delay in obtaining pulse oximetry during neonatal resuscitation. J Pediatr 2005;147:698–699.
- Perlman JM, Wyllie J, Kattwinkel J, Atkins DL, Chameides L, Goldsmith JP, Guinsburg R, et al.; Neonatal Resuscitation Chapter Collaborators. Part 11: Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. Circulation 2010;122:S516–S538.
- Vento M. Tailoring oxygen needs of extremely low birth weight infants in the delivery room. Neonatology 2011;99:342–348.
- O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Obtaining pulse oximetry data in neonates: a randomised crossover study of sensor application techniques. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2005;90:F84–F85.
- Saraswat A, Simionato L, Dawson JA, Thio M, Kamlin CO, Owen L, Schmölzer G, Davis PG. Determining the best method of Nellcor pulse oximeter sensor application in neonates. Acta Paediatr 2012;101:484–487.
- Vento M, Escobar J, Cernada M, Escrig R, Aguar M. The use and misuse of oxygen during the neonatal period. Clin Perinatol 2012;39:165–176.
- Shiao SY, Ou CN, Pierantoni H. The measurement of accurate fetal hemoglobin and related oxygen saturation by the hemoximeter. Clin Chim Acta 2006;374:75–80.
- Castillo A, Sola A, Baquero H, Neira F, Alvis R, Deulofeu R, Critz A. Pulse oxygen saturation levels and arterial oxygen tension values in newborns receiving oxygen therapy in the neonatal intensive care unit: is 85% to 93% an acceptable range? Pediatrics 2008;121:882–889.
- Saugstad OD, Ramji S, Irani SF, El-Meneza S, Hernandez EA, Vento M, Talvik T, et al. Resuscitation of newborn infants with 21% or 100% oxygen: follow-up at 18 to 24 months. Pediatrics 2003;112:296–300.
- Perlman JM, Wyllie J, Kattwinkel J, Atkins DL, Chameides L, Goldsmith JP, Guinsburg R, et al.; Neonatal Resuscitation Chapter Collaborators. Neonatal resuscitation: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. Pediatrics 2010;126:e1319–e1344.
- Biarent D, Bingham R, Eich C, López-Herce J, Maconochie I, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Rajka T, Zideman D. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2010 Section 6. Paediatric life support. Resuscitation 2010;81:1364–1388.
- Saugstad OD, Ramji S, Soll RE, Vento M. Resuscitation of newborn infants with 21% or 100% oxygen: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Neonatology 2008;94:176–182.
- Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL, Lawn JE, Rudan I, Bassani DG, Jha P, et al.; Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. Lancet 2010;375:1969–1987.
- Yeh ST, Cawley RJ, Aune SE, Angelos MG. Oxygen requirement during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) to effect return of spontaneous circulation. Resuscitation 2009;80:951–955.
- Vento M, Saugstad OD. Oxygen supplementation in the delivery room: updated information. J Pediatr 2011;158:e5–e7.
- Vento M, Sastre J, Asensi MA, Viña J. Room-air resuscitation causes less damage to heart and kidney than 100% oxygen. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;172:1393–1398.
- Davis PG, Dawson JA. New concepts in neonatal resuscitation. Curr Opin Pediatr 2012;24:147–153.
- Escrig R, Arruza L, Izquierdo I, Villar G, Sáenz P, Gimeno A, Moro M, Vento M. Achievement of targeted saturation values in extremely low gestational age neonates resuscitated with low or high oxygen concentrations: a prospective, randomized trial. Pediatrics 2008;121:875–881.
- Vento M, Moro M, Escrig R, Arruza L, Villar G, Izquierdo I, Roberts LJ 2<sup>nd</sup>, et al. Preterm resuscitation with low oxygen causes less oxidative stress, inflammation, and chronic lung disease. Pediatrics 2009;124:e439–e449.

36. Ezaki S, Suzuki K, Kurishima C, Miura M, Weilin W, Hoshi R, Tanitsu S, et al. Resuscitation of preterm infants with reduced oxygen results in less oxidative stress than resuscitation with 100% oxygen. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 2009;44:111–118.
37. Wang CL, Anderson C, Leone TA, Rich W, Govindaswami B, Finer NN. Resuscitation of preterm neonates by using room air or 100% oxygen. *Pediatrics* 2008;121:1083–1089.
38. Dawson JA, Kamlin CO, Wong C, te Pas AB, O'Donnell CP, Donath SM, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Oxygen saturation and heart rate during delivery room resuscitation of infants <30 weeks' gestation with air or 100% oxygen. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2009;94:F87–F91.
39. Rabi Y, Singhal N, Nettel-Aguirre A. Room-air versus oxygen administration for resuscitation of preterm infants: the ROAR study. *Pediatrics* 2011;128:e374–e381.
40. Dawson JA, Vento M, Finer NN, Rich W, Saugstad OD, Morley CJ, Davis PG. Managing oxygen therapy during delivery room stabilization of preterm infants. *J Pediatr* 2012;160:158–161.
41. Davis PG, Tan A, O'Donnell CP, Schulze A. Resuscitation of newborn infants with 100% oxygen or air: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2004;364:1329–1333.
42. Rabi Y, Rabi D, Yee W. Room air resuscitation of the depressed newborn: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation* 2007;72:353–363.
43. Trevisanuto D, Doglioni N, Ferrarese P, Bortolus R, Zanardo V; Neonatal Resuscitation Study Group, Italian Society of Neonatology. Neonatal resuscitation of extremely low birthweight infants: a survey of practice in Italy. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2006;91:F123–F124.
44. Solås AB, Kutzsche S, Vinje M, Saugstad OD. Cerebral hypoxemia-ischemia and reoxygenation with 21% or 100% oxygen in newborn piglets: effects on extracellular levels of excitatory amino acids and microcirculation. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2001;2:340–345.
45. Feet BA, Yu XQ, Rootwelt T, Oyasaeter S, Saugstad OD. Effects of hypoxemia and reoxygenation with 21% or 100% oxygen in newborn piglets: extracellular hypoxanthine in cerebral cortex and femoral muscle. *Crit Care Med* 1997;25:1384–1391.
46. Presti AL, Kishkurno SV, Slinko SK, Randis TM, Ratner VI, Polin RA, Ten VS. Reoxygenation with 100% oxygen versus room air: late neuroanatomical and neurofunctional outcome in neonatal mice with hypoxic-ischemic brain injury. *Pediatr Res* 2006;60:55–59.
47. Viña J, Vento M, García-Sala F, Puertes IR, Gascó E, Sastre J, Asensi M, Pallardó FV. L-cysteine and glutathione metabolism are impaired in premature infants due to cystathionase deficiency. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1995;61:1067–1069.
48. Asikainen TM, White CW. Antioxidant defenses in the preterm lung: role for hypoxia-inducible factors in BPD? *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2005;203:177–188.
49. Jobe AH, Hillman N, Polglase G, Kramer BW, Kallapur S, Pillow J. Injury and inflammation from resuscitation of the preterm infant. *Neonatology* 2008;94:190–196.
50. Perlman J, Kattwinkel J, Wyllie J, Guinsburg R, Velaphi S, Nalini Singhal for the Neonatal ILCOR Task Force Group. Neonatal resuscitation: in pursuit of evidence gaps in knowledge. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:545–550.